

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,123	01/13/2000	David I. J. Glen	0100.9900210	9307
23418 75	01/27/2004		EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			AMINI, JAVID A	
222 N. LASALI CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	8
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/484,123	GLEN, DAVID I. J.			
, and a second production	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Javid A Amini	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final ing date of the final rejec	opriate extension		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • = = = =				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
		Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit: 2672			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant 's claim language is still too broad. Applicant fails to provide more information or refers Examiner to a section of the specification. Regarding the conditions for allowable subject matter, is as following: Since converting RGB to YUV is known in the art, Applicant should provide more detailed information about the range of the coefficients; for example: the equations or RGB to YUV color conversion; the coefficients range of a, b and c in equation are: Y=a*G+b*R+c*B (Luminance or Brightness); U=R-Y (Chrominance 1); V=B-Y (Chrominance 2). The claim language of Applicant's invention involved the conversion and the subject of RGB and YUV. Applicant discloses in remarks on page 4 that the reference Blinn fail to teach a plurality of pipelines. Blinn in Figs. 2 and 4 illustrates that image "A" (pipline "A") over image "B" (pipeline "B") step 50-54, therefore Blinn teaches more than one pipeline. Applicant on page 5 discloses that Blinn does not teach a blending module coupled to a plurality of pipelines. Blinn in Fig. 1 illustrates a blending module step 24. Applicant discloses on page 11 that the reference Snyder does not teach alpha blending value. Snyder in Figs. 16 A and B illustrates clearly the alpha blending results. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 11 second paragraph is still maintained. Examiner encourages the Applicant to have an interview.

JEFFERY BRIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER